Fall 2021 Comprehensive Program and Area Review (PAR):

Academic Programs

Dear Chabot Community,

Welcome to Fall 2021! This is the electronic template for the **Academic Programs Fall 2021 Comprehensive Program and Area Review (PAR).** We encourage you to work together with your program or service area to complete these questions collaboratively. One way to facilitate real-time collaboration is to upload these questions into a google doc. Please submit your completed template with attachments to your Dean/Manager by **10/11/21**. Your Dean/Manager will provide you with feedback. After you receive their feedback, you will then enter the information from your template (and attachments) into Qualtrics by **10/25/21**. Importantly, your <u>PAR is NOT complete until you submit your responses on Qualtrics.</u>

Please reach out to the PAR shared governance committee if you have any questions about filling out your Fall 2021 PAR! Co-Chairs: Deonne Kunkel Wu <u>dkunkelwu@chabotcollege.edu</u> and Cynthia Gordon da Cruz <u>cgordondacruz@chabotcollege.edu</u>.

Background Information:

• What organizational unit does your program/area belong to?

X Academic Services Administrative Services Student Services

- Office of the President
- Name of your Program, Discipline, Area or Service: Computer Application Systems (CAS)
- Name(s) of the person or people who contributed to this review: Mon Khat
- What division does your Program/Area reside in?
 - ____ Academic Pathways and Student Success
 - X Applied Technology and Business
 - Arts, Media, and Communication
 - Counseling
 - Health, Kinesiology and Athletics
 - Language Arts
 - Science and Mathematics
 - Social Sciences
 - ____ Special Programs

Status of Program Goals from Prior Comprehensive PAR Cycle

• Please refer to the goals/new initiatives you established in the last comprehensive PAR cycle. The last comprehensive PAR was written in Fall 2017 to plan for 2018-19; 19-20; and 20-21. If you need a reminder of your goals, you can access them in the <u>PAR App Program Review Reports</u>. Click on:

- PAR App Program Review Reports.
- Then "Select Academic Year" on the top (choose 2018-19)
- Then "Submissions" (in the left hand toolbar)
- Then find your area and click "View" in the right most column
- For Academic Areas, find question 8: "Reflecting on your answers to questions 1-7, what are your top goals (no more than 5) for the next three years?"
- For **Service Areas**, find question 8: "Reflecting on your answer to questions 1-7, what new initiatives (no more than 5) do you propose for the next three years?"
- For Administrative Areas, find question 9: "Reflecting on your answers to questions 1-8, what are your top goals (no more than 5) for the next three years?"

You should be able to view the goals you submitted in the last comprehensive PAR, which was written in Fall 2017 to plan for the three-year cycle starting in 2018-19. Please note that the "goals" you established are distinct from the outcomes for your service area (SAOs) or program area (PLOs). In general, SAOs and PLOs tend to be enduring and overarching aims for your service/program, whereas the goals for a comprehensive PAR year are more specific, are expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and are often part of a concrete action plan to reach your overarching and enduring SAOs and PLOs. For example, one of the Learning Connection's SAOs is: "Students from diverse backgrounds and with diverse learning needs will receive tutoring that improves their abilities to complete assignments, study, and/or succeed in their courses." This is an enduring aim that is unlikely to change from PAR cycle to PAR cycle. A PAR goal for the Learning Connection might be to refine the student diversity and antiracist tutoring practices training for incoming tutors. This goal is specific, expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and supports their SAO (if incoming tutors are trained in antiracist tutoring practices, they will be well-equipped to support students with diverse learning needs).

Goal from Previous Cycle	Status of Goal	Outputs or measures (e.g students served, program change made, etc.) Please explain.
1. Improve curriculum at the course and program level.	X Achieved In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	Updated existing degrees and created new emerging CTE degree with tracks current in industry standards.
2. Improve course/program enrollment.	X Achieved In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	Students have enrolled in new courses that are built into the new degree/certificate curriculum.
3. Improve course/program success rates.	Achieved X In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	Many of courses have been enrolled in and passed by students, however at the most advanced levels enrollment has not been robust so course have not been able to run in certain tracks.
4. Improve marketing and outreach to local partners at the lower level.	Achieved X In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	Marketing and outreach were established with local area high schools with similar programs. Articulations were created however students that finished did not matriculate to the CC program.
5. Improve outreach to higher educational partners.	Achieved In Progress X Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	One pathway was established as a guidance with SJSU but did not align completely with our local program. Additional curriculum work needs to be done.

Learning Outcomes Assessment Results

SLO:

Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs): SLOs are the outcomes that instructors aim for students to successfully reach by the end of a course. SLOs should be established for each course, listed in CurricUNET, displayed on all course syllabi, and assessed in CurricUNET on a 5-Year cycle. The following questions are about SLO assessment.

- How many courses in your discipline have SLOs developed and listed in CurricUNET?
 - X All courses
 - ____ Almost all or most courses
 - ____ About half of the courses
 - A few courses
 - No courses

If any courses do not have SLOs, please explain why.

- How many courses in your discipline have rubrics (or some other form of assessment) developed to measure SLOs?
 <u>X</u> All courses
 - ____ Almost all or most courses
 - ____ About half of the courses
 - ____A few courses
 - No courses

If any courses do not have rubrics to measure SLOs, please explain why.

- How many courses in your discipline had their SLOs assessed and recorded in CurricUNET in the 5-year cycle?
 X All courses
 - _____Almost all or most courses
 - About half of the courses
 - A few courses
 - No courses

If any courses were not assessed in the five-year cycle, please explain why.

- Assessing SLOs has led to improvements in my area.
 - ____ Strongly disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - ____ Neither agree nor disagree
 - X Somewhat agree
 - ____ Strongly agree

PLOs:

Certificate and Degree programs also establish and assess **Program Learning Outcomes** (PLOs). PLOs are the outcomes students should successfully reach when they complete all the requirements for a certificate or degree program. PLOs are also assessed in CurricUNET on a 5-year cycle.

• Were all Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) assessed in the 5-year cycle in CurricUNET? Yes, all PLOs were assessed in the 5-year cycle.

X Almost all PLOs were assessed in the 5-year cycle.

_____No, many PLOs were not assessed in the 5-year cycle.

If any PLOs were not assessed in the five-year cycle, please explain why. <u>New degrees were established so measuring completion of program has not been achieved when looking at number</u> <u>of certificates and degrees awarded. PLO's are linked to courses required in the program for the</u> <u>degree/certificate.</u>

Assessing PLOs has led to improvements in my area.
 Strongly disagree
 Somewhat disagree
 Neither agree nor disagree
 X Somewhat agree
 Strongly agree

Institutional Supports and Barriers

Reflect on your experiences, data, and/or previous program reviews and consider what work in your discipline/service area you are most proud of and what problems remain a major challenge. Then respond to the following questions:

- What institutional-level supports or practices were particularly helpful to your program or area in reaching its PAR Goals, SLOs, PLOs, SAOs, and/or the college mission?
 Metrics on success rates are helpful in me assessing PAR Goals, SLO's, PLO's & SAO's
- What institutional-level barrier or challenges prevented or hindered **your program or area** from reaching its PAR Goals, SLOs, PLOs, SAOs, and/or the college mission?

Allowing capstone or advanced courses to run with lower enrollment would help increase completion rates in the program. Many students complain that there courses are cancelled due to low enrollment which is understandable at the advanced level. However exceptions if made for every other semester for example would increase completion numbers. Currently I am accepting equivalent courses from other colleges to make up for the course cancellations.

• What institutional-level supports or practices do employees in your program/area believe are particularly helpful **to students** in reaching their educational milestones and/or goals? (i.e., from your vantage point, what does Chabot do for students that we should **keep** doing?)

Keep software programs like degree works available to students and better advertise it.

• What institutional-level barriers or challenges do employees in your program/area believe are a hindrance to students in reaching their educational milestones and/or goals? (i.e., from your vantage point, what does Chabot do that we should stop doing or change to better support our students?)

Chabot tends to process course substitutions very slowly and not automatically accept courses on there transferred units towards the G.E. pattern. Students often have to take an extra step when the courses are already have a matriculation in ASSIST.ORG. Make course substitutions easier for G.E. non-major courses.

Academic Programs/Disciplines Data

In order to reach Chabot's mission, the college looks at the following outcome metrics to evaluate previous program success and plan for the future. Some outcomes will be more applicable to particular programs in specific PAR cycles; please look at the data available on the outcomes that are most relevant to your program and use it to answer the following questions:

FTES and Enrollment

FTES is an enrollment metric. It basically converts the total number of units students are taking in a given timeframe (e.g., semester, academic year, etc.) into the equivalent number of full-time students that would be needed to generate this same number of units. Colleges are funded based on the FTES they generate (both historically and now as the "Base Allocation" in the Student Centered Funding Formula). Please check out the <u>Chabot College Enrollment Management</u> <u>Data Dashboard</u> to respond to the questions below. The data in this section will be given to the Chabot Enrollment Management Committee (CEMC) to support their work.

- Over the past 3 years, in comparison to the overall FTES trends of the college, FTES in your discipline have:
 _____ Decreased in comparison to the overall college trends
 - X Stayed roughly the same in comparison to overall college trends
 - Increased in comparison to overall college trends

Please provide a brief explanation that would help the college understand these trends (e.g., tangible reasons for the increase or decrease).

<u>There has been roughly just under 25% reduction from slight decrease over the three years. Partially this</u> could be due to Covid but since the sharper drop was in the last calendar year.

• As noted above, enrollments impact our funding. Please review the courses in your discipline in the <u>Chabot College</u> <u>Enrollment Management Data Dashboard</u>: are there specific courses/sections that, on average, across the past three years did not fill to capacity? Why might this be?

On Campus G.E. qualifying class in the afternoons and the advance classes have a lower fill rate. For the advance classes this could also be due to the classes being relatively new in relation to the tracking of enrollment numbers since the program and courses were created a few years ago.

• Is there anything faculty in your area would consider doing to improve overall discipline productivity* while maintaining our commitment to student learning? (e.g., taking additional students in sections with higher fill rates or changing the days/times or format—in-person, hybrid, online—of low fill-rate classes, etc.) *productivity=(FTES or WSCH)/FTEF or the number of full time students or weekly student contact hours per full time faculty member

Yes additional marketing to more students.

• Are there any classes in your discipline which routinely fill to capacity and for which there is often a waitlist? If yes, please list here.

Yes, CAS 50, 54 & 58 taught online by popular instructor tend to have a waitlist or over enroll by handing out petition numbers.

Enrollment Disaggregations:

Enrollments* can be disaggregated by race and ethnicity, gender, etc.

*Enrollments are the total number of class enrollments/seats in a given time period. A student enrolled in multiple courses increases the count for each of those courses. This is a count of *seats filled*, not a count of persons filling them.

Take a look at disaggregation of your enrollments by race and ethnicity (and/or by gender) over the past three years on the <u>Chabot College Course Enrollments and Success Rates Dashboard</u>. Consider how the representation of traditionally

underrepresented race/ethnicity/gender student groups in your program compares to the typical makeup of your discipline, field, or industry (and/or for disciplines with large percentages of General Education enrollments—like English, math and communication studies—consider how the representation of traditionally underrepresented race/ethnicity/gender student groups in your **major courses** compare to your discipline, field, or industry).

- The representation of traditionally underrepresented race/ethnicity/gender student groups in our **discipline/major** compared to our industry/field:
 - _____could be improved.
 - ____ is just right.
 - $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ is outstanding we are increasing the diversity of the field.

For disciplines with a high percentage of offerings that are required for General Education—such as English, math, or communication studies—please also compare the representation of traditionally underrepresented race/ethnicity/gender student groups/disproportionately impacted groups (DI Groups) in your **general education** classes to the overall student body population.

• DI Groups in our general education classes:

_____are **underrepresented** in comparison to their representation in the student body.

X have similar representation in comparison to their representation in the student body.

are **overrepresented** in comparison to their representation in the student body.

____ Not applicable, our discipline does not have high enrollments in general education classes.

Please provide a brief explanation that would help the college understand these trends (e.g., tangible reasons to understand the representation of DI groups in your general education classes at Chabot).

CAS 50 is our course that also qualifies as a G.E. and the student population in the course represents the college student body make up.

Non-Credit

- Does your program/area offer non-credit classes?
 - Yes X No
- Over the next 3 years, non-credit course offerings in our program/area are planned to:
 - ____ Decrease
 - X Stay the same as they are now
 - Increase

Course success rates

Refer to the Chabot College Course Enrollments and Success Rates Dashboard.

- Over the past three years, how have course success rates in your discipline changed? Course success rates have:
 ____ Decreased
 - Stayed roughly the same
 - X Increased

Use the <u>Chabot College Course Enrollments and Success Rates Dashboard</u> to disaggregate your course success rates. Do any populations jump out to you as disproportionately impacted (succeeding at lower rates than students from other racial/ethnic, gender groups, or the overall college average)?

• Check all groups that are *disproportionately impacted* (succeeding at lower rates than students from other racial/ethnic, gender groups, or the overall college average):

X African American/ Black

____Asian American/ Asian

- ____ Filipino/x
- X Latinx/ Chicanx

Native American/ Alaska Native X Pacific Islander/ Hawaiian White/ European American Female Male

(Comment/Explain) Please provide a brief explanation that would help the college understand the trends in overall course success rates or disproportionate impacts in course success rates for any student group:

What has been observed is that the basic skills are lacking at a higher level in these DI groups in the CAS program and courses. Many students do not realize that computers do not do the work for you, but they enable and enhance your current ability so when a student shows up to a class and lacks basic math skills they will not be able to create a formula to calculate a percentage on a spreadsheet. And when the they copy the incorrect formula to the rest of the sheet the entire workbook will be incorrect. I have considered adding an English and math pre-requisite but it would hurt enrollment.

The Office of Institutional Research strives to continually improve representation in our data. Currently, we have a <u>dashboard on course enrollments and success rates</u>, which can be disaggregated by race/ethnicity, gender, and parttime/full-time status. What other student group(s) would you like to be able to disaggregate by in the dashboard? How will this disaggregation promote Chabot's mission? (Please keep in mind we will need to build further disaggregation into the dashboard over time and we will work in the order that is possible to do based on data availability and for which there is the most interest in Chabot campus community.)

<u>I think the disaggregation should be leveled to race and then to ethnicity for further disaggregation</u>. For example there are many Asian ethnicities but on the chart only Asian and Filipino are shown.

Program completion (AD-Ts, AA/AS, Chancellor-approved Certificates)

Take a look at the IR report on Degrees by Discipline.

- Over the past 3 years, what is the trend in Degrees awarded (AD-Ts and AA/AS) in your program(s)? Decreased
 - ____Stayed roughly the same
 - X Increased

Take a look at the IR report on Chancellor-Approved Certificates by Discipline.

OIR now has two separate certificate reports: Chancellor Approved Certificates by Discipline and All Certificates by Discipline.

• Over the past 3 years, what is the trend in **Chancellor-Approved** certificates awarded in your program(s)? ______ Decreased

Stayed roughly the same Increased

- Please provide a brief explanation that would help the college understand these trends in degree and certificate completion. (e.g., tangible reasons for the increase or decrease). They were new degrees, so the recent completions were an increase where before it was 0.
- If your area does not produce a lot of degrees or Chancellor-approved certificates, is there an associated industry test for which you are preparing students or non-Chancellor-approved certificates? If you have any data on success rates or numbers for the industry certification/test or for non-Chancellor-approved certificates, please share. (Optional)

<u>The majority of the courses in the CAS department are linked to an industry certificate either by individual</u> course, or a group of courses. Example CAS 83 linked to A+ Cert, CAS 92A, B, C, D linked to Cisco CCNA

• What barriers make it difficult for students to complete your program? Are there any barriers that could be disproportionately experienced by students from a particular demographic group (e.g., racial/ethnic, age, disability status, parents, etc.)

Lack of options for advanced classes to run with lower student enrollment numbers. I've been substituting equivalent courses from neighboring schools. It will take a while for local level of students to have enough completers from feeder courses to run the advanced classes locally.

Staffing Analysis

In this section you will analyze trends in staffing, technology, and facilities.

Staffing	Current # (Fall 2021)	How has staffing for this group changed in the last 3 years (decrease, flat, increase)
Full-time Faculty	0	X Decreased Stayed roughly the same Increased
Part-time Faculty	10	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased
Full-time Classified Professionals	0	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased
Part-Time Permanent or Hourly Classified Professionals	0	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased
Student Employees	0	Decreased Stayed roughly the same Increased
Independent Contractors/Professional Experts	0	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased

Academic Disciplines Only: Compare changes over the past three years in the FTES/enrollment in your area with changes in staffing in this same time period. What do you notice? CAS is a CTE program.

Compare the representation of DI populations in your program's/area's staffing (faculty, classified professionals, and administrators) to the representation of DI populations in the students you serve. What do you notice? If there is a gap in representation between students and the Chabot professionals who serve them, how has your program/area addressed that gap?

<u>Currently there is a 50/50 ratio of Caucasian / People of Color staffing the program.</u> Students in the program have a chance at seeing individuals who represent similar backgrounds as them serving and teaching them.

Technology

- The **technology** in our program/area is sufficient to support student learning and/or carry out our program/area outcomes and goals.
 - ____ Strongly disagree
 - X Somewhat disagree
 - ____ Neither agree nor disagree
 - _____Somewhat agree
 - Strongly agree

If you strongly disagree or somewhat disagree, please explain. (optional)

A complete breakdown lab would be nice for many of the hands on courses but we are able to make due at the moment with the virtual enviorments.

Facilities

- The **facilities** in our program/area are sufficient to support student learning and/or carry out our program/area outcomes and goals.
 - ____ Strongly disagree
 - X Somewhat disagree
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - Somewhat agree
 - Strongly agree

If you strongly disagree or somewhat disagree, please explain. (optional)

Our classroom and labs look run down in the 1500 building. When taught in the 900 building it looks more up to Par but still not state of the art. We are able to make due with the facilities we have but the new building should help with enrollment and retention.

Professional Development

- In general, **Faculty members** in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities offered **bv/at Chabot**.
 - _____Strongly disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - X Somewhat agree
 - Strongly agree
- In general, Classified Professionals in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities <u>offered by/at Chabot.</u>
 - ____ Strongly disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - X Neither agree nor disagree
 - Somewhat agree
 - ____ Strongly agree

- In general, **Faculty members** in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities offered <u>outside of Chabot.</u>
 - ____ Strongly disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - Somewhat agree
 - <u>X</u> Strongly agree
- In general, **Classified Professionals** in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities offered <u>outside of Chabot.</u>
 - ____ Strongly disagree
 - Somewhat disagree

X Neither agree nor disagree

- Somewhat agree
- ____ Strongly agree
- How did these professional development experiences contribute to improving your program/area, equity, and/or student learning and achievement?

Our classroom and labs look run down in the 1500 building. When taught in the 900 building it looks more up to Par but still not state of the art. We are able to make due with the facilities we have but the new building should help with enrollment and retention.

Program Maps and Equity in Scheduling

The data in this section is intended to support the further development of Guided Pathways at Chabot. Respondents' answers will be given to the Guided Pathways Steering Committee for analysis.

• <u>Turning in Program Maps</u>: A first draft of your **Program Map** for each credit degree and certificate offered within your discipline was due in May. If you already submitted all Program Maps and have no required changes or new program modifications, then you're done for now! If you did not turn in all program maps *or* changes are required *or* you have new program modifications, then please submit these Program Maps by **October 11th, 2021**. You can submit your Program Map(s) by following these steps: 1) go to <u>this template in Google Docs</u>,* 2) click on "file," 3) choose "make a copy", 4) click on "share with the same people," 5) rename it for the degree/certificate that you are creating and 6) update the file to your program map. Then it will *automatically be stored* in the folder for submitting it to Guided Pathways.

*There appears to be a current bug in Microsoft Products that does not allow links to google docs to automatically open (for some people). If you cannot open the link above, try manually copy pasting the address into a browser window. <u>https://docs.google.com/document/d/1zU4G_Kps1CNYmR8ZOczX8RergfkJLPpU_XU3KfQC86s/edit</u>

• Have you completed all program maps for your discipline?

<u>X</u> Yes (or we will do so by the deadline).

No, because one or more of our program(s) is/are being discontinued (please fill in name of program in space below).

No, because one or more of our program(s) cannot currently be completed because not all classes have been offered recently or will be offered in the next 3 years (please fill in name of program in space below).

No, for another reason... (please fill in the reason below).

If you checked off "No" above, please explain.

• Can a student who is working toward the degree(s)/certificate(s) in your area take all their required courses for this program: 1) during the day or 2) in the late afternoon/evening/weekend or 3) online? What changes would be needed to ensure access for students in all three scenarios?

They can take the course during the day and online TBA.

• How are you collaborating with other disciplines with whom you share students to ensure that your schedules are not conflicting, so that students with specific educational goals can take the courses they need to finish in a timely fashion? Please discuss the discipline(s) with whom you already collaborate, as well as any discipline(s) with whom you would like to start collaborating.

No other collaboration is needed since this is not an interdisciplinary degree.

Are there any classes in your discipline that you do not offer every semester or every year that are required for any of your degrees or programs? In an *ideal* world, with perfect coordination and infrastructure, how would you want to communicate which required courses are not offered in all semesters to: 1) counselors, 2) other faculty, and 3) students? (If you offer all classes required for degrees/certificates in all semesters, then you can write NA.)
 The advance courses are offered every other semester and this is communicated by the class schedule.

Planning

Program/Area Goals: Please reflect on: 1) all the data you have reviewed, 2) the questions you have answered in this comprehensive PAR template, and 3) the various college planning documents (e.g., shorter term planning documents like the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u> (PRAC will post when complete), <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>, and <u>Strategic Plan</u>, all of which lead into the long-range planning document, the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>). Utilize your reflections, along with college planning documents, to develop 1-3 Goals to work on up through the next comprehensive-year PAR cycle. What are the anticipated *outputs** and *outcomes*** of your goals? How do your goals align with the <u>Educational Master Plan (EMP)</u>? Do your goals support the success of any DI Groups? Do your goals support any of the Student Centered Funding Formula (SCFF)*** metrics?

*outputs: direct short-term results like # of students served, workshops held, etc.

**outcomes: longer-term results like course success rates or degrees earned

***The Student Centered Funding Formula is the way all CA CC districts will be funded once the "hold harmless" period of funding expires.

Remember: Whereas **SAOs/PLOs** tend to be enduring and overarching aims for your service/program, the **goals** for a comprehensive PAR year are more specific, are expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and are often part of a concrete action plan to reach your overarching and enduring SAOs/PLOs. For example, one of the Learning Connection's SAOs is: "Students from diverse backgrounds and with diverse learning needs will receive tutoring that improves their abilities to complete assignments, study, and/or succeed in their courses." This is an enduring aim that is unlikely to change from PAR cycle to PAR cycle. A PAR goal for the Learning Connection might be to refine the student diversity and antiracist tutoring practices training for incoming tutors. This goal is specific, expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and supports their SAO (if incoming tutors are trained in antiracist tutoring practices, they will be well-equipped to support students with diverse learning needs).

Goal	Briefly describe the expected <i>outputs</i> (e.g., direct short-term results like # of students	EMP Alignment	Equity DI Group Alignment	SCFF Metric Alignment
------	---	---------------	------------------------------	-----------------------

1.Increase student retention in the program from class to class.	served, workshops held, etc) or <i>outcomes</i> (e.g., longer-term results like course success rates or degrees earned) for your goal. Will advertise to students internally though other courses offered in the computer technology arena.	Equity Access Pedagogy and Praxis X Academic and Career Success Community and Partnerships	X African American/Black X X Latinx X Native American/Alaska Native X Pacific Islander/Hawaiian Disabled Foster Youth LGBT DI Gender Other	X Enrollment/FTES Transfer level English, math or ESL achievement X Degree or certificate completion Transfer X CTE Units Attainment of a Living Wage Supplemental Metric (Financial aid or AB 540) Other
2.Streagthen K- 12 partnerships at the high school level with aligned programs converting the students into Chabot students upon high school graduation.	Will work with High School faculty and counselors to initiate student continuation by encouraging enrollment and advertising programs.	Equity X Access Pedagogy and Praxis Academic and Career Success X Community and Partnerships	African American/Black Latinx Native American/Alaska Native Pacific Islander/Hawaiian Disabled Foster Youth LGBT DI Gender Other	X Enrollment/FTES Transfer level English, math or ESL achievement Degree or certificate completion Transfer X CTE Units Attainment of a Living Wage Supplemental Metric (Financial aid or AB 540) Other
3.Form industry partnerships for students that lead to internships and employment.	Reaching out to recruiting agencies and technological companies to form a pipeline alliance.	X Equity Access Pedagogy and Praxis Academic and Career Success X Community and Partnerships	African American/Black Latinx Native American/Alaska Native Pacific Islander/Hawaiian Disabled Foster Youth LGBT DI Gender Other	Enrollment/FTES Transfer level English, math or ESL achievement Degree or certificate completion Transfer CTE Units _XAttainment of a Living Wage Supplemental Metric (Financial aid or AB 540) Other

Resource Requests

Contracts and Services Requests: Contracts and Services include things like equipment maintenance contracts, food vendors, external consultants or speakers. Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Vendor Name	Brief Job Description/Tasks	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Length of Contract in Months (1, 2, 10, 12, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1	1	WATSC Cisco Academy Payment	New Updated XRepeat	WATSC/Cisco Academy	Provide access to industry standard educational material in various course subjects.	This is required to offer 6 of our courses since it holds the course content and work / lab material.	12	<u>X</u> Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	\$600
Item 2	2	VMWare Contract	New Updated X_ Repeat	VMWare	Provide access to industry standard educational material in various course subjects.	This is required to offer 2 of our courses since it holds the course content and work / lab material.	12	<u>x</u> Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	\$500
Item 3			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Equipment Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: If your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project so that committees can see the total cost of the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Vendor Name	Brief Item Description	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Quantity (1, 2, 10, 12, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Facilities Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: If your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project so that committees can see the total cost of the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the start to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Brief Item Description	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1			New Updated Repeat			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2			New Updated Repeat			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3			New Updated Repeat			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Human Resource Requests (e.g., Faculty, Classified, Administrative, Student Workers, etc.)

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Classification	Position Title	Avg. hours per week (5, 20, 40,	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
---	---	--	----------------	-------------------	--	--	-------------------	---

Position 1	have been entered)	related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New Updated Repeat	Admin FT Classified FT Classified Hourly Classified PT Faculty FT Faculty PT Faculty F-hour Faculty Reassign Student Hourly Other	etc.)	Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Position 2			New Updated Repeat	Admin FT Classified FT Classified Hourly Classified PT Faculty FT Faculty PT Faculty F-hour Faculty Reassign Student Hourly Other			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Position 3			New Updated Repeat	Admin FT Classified FT Classified Hourly Classified PT Faculty FT Faculty PT Faculty F-hour Faculty Reassign Student Hourly Other			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

		·			

- The Faculty Prioritization Committee requires a completed <u>Faculty Prioritization Form</u> if you are requesting a full-time faculty position. There will be a spot on Qualtrics to upload this completed form. In the meantime, please just submit the completed form to your dean/manager when you turn in this template on 10/11/21.
- The Classified Prioritization Committee requires a completed <u>Classified Professional Prioritization Form</u>. There will be a spot on Qualtrics to upload this completed form. In the meantime, please just submit the completed form to your dean/manager when you turn in this template on 10/11/21.

Professional Development, Travel, and Conferences

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Brief Description (1-2 sentences)	What Type of PD Request?	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Number of Attendees (1, 5, 10, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Request 1	1	Faculty professional development	New Updated _XRepeat	Required update faculty training in new and emerging technologies along with updating older skill sets.	X In-person conference with travel X Online conference/webinar On-Campus Training On-Campus Speaker Other	Faculty professional development allows Computer Technology faculty to "Try" and stay up to date the latest changing and emerging technologies being developed by industry which in turn will be taught to students.	4-5	<u>X</u> Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	\$6-10k depending on the changes in technolog y.

Request 2	New Updated Repeat	In-person conference with travel Online conference/webinar On-Campus Training On-Campus Speaker Other	Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25
Request 3	New Updated Repeat	In-person conference with travel Online conference/webinar On-Campus Training On-Campus Speaker Other	Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25

Supplies Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Brief Item Description (1-2 sentences)	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Quantity (1, 2, 10, 12, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
--	---	---	--	--	---	--	-------------------	---

Item 1	New Updated Repeat		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2	New Updated Repeat		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3	New Updated Repeat		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Technology Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Was the feasibility of the request discussed with IT?	Brief Item Description (1-2 sentences)	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Quantity (1, 2, 10, 12, etc)	Year(s) Needed	Estimate d Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1			New Updated Repeat	Yes No				Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item			New	Yes				Annual	

2		Updated Repeat	No			
Item 3		New Updated Repeat	Yes No		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Categorical Funding Applications:

The **Student Access Success and Equity (SASE) committee** "develops, leads, and supports campus initiatives that strengthen student access, success, and equity." SASE "provides a platform for collaboration and communication across the college that will result in the coordination of basic skills, student access, success, and equity efforts campus-wide." If you believe that any of your funding requests meet these requirements, then please fill out their application for funding here:

https://forms.gle/ZXC65S6NscLMCz8G7

Please note that SASE may request additional information after you submit the application. Please contact the SASE committee with any questions: Administrative Tri-Chair Gabe Chaparro@chabotcollege.edu.

Career Education funds are available for projects that: 1) support a program with a CTE TOP code or 2) continue a current project in SWP or 3) are eligible for Perkins Grants, or 4) meet other criteria such as core indicators and labor market demand. If you believe that any of your funding requests meet these requirements, then please fill out the CE application for funding here:

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSelkdNpRXzCbxnmVGHO7t3gC2K3eZfs_nXrOaLloFxlT1xbqw/viewform?usp=sf_link

- Please submit one form per project/TOP code, keeping in mind that funding for multiple projects per area is limited.
- If you are not sure whether you have a program that qualifies for CE funding, please reach out to Christina Read <u>cread@chabotcollege.edu</u>.

If you have any other questions about the CE funding process, please contact the Career Education Committee Tri-Chairs: faculty chair Connie Telles ctelles@chabotcollege.edu, admin chair Christina Read cread@chabotcollege.edu, or classified professional chair Kathleen Stanley kstanley@chabotcollege.edu, or classified professional chair Kathleen Stanley kstanley@chabotcollege.edu, or classified professional chair Kathleen Stanley kstanley@chabotcollege.edu.